## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

+ + + + +

## THE PRESIDENT'S INITIATIVE ON RACE

+ + + + +

#### CORPORATE AND LABOR FORUM

+ + + + +

#### WEDNESDAY

## JANUARY 14, 1998

+ + + + +

The Advisory Board met in the Academy Auditorium, at the Phoenix Preparatory Academy, 735 East Fillmore Street, Phoenix, Arizona at 1:45 p.m., Dr. John Hope Franklin, Chair, presiding.

## **PRESENT:**

JOHN HOPE FRANKLIN, Ph.D. Chair
ROBERT THOMAS Board Member
LINDA CHAVEZ-THOMPSON Board Member
ANGELA OH Board Member
GOVERNOR WILLIAM WINTER Board Member
REV. SUZAN JOHNSON COOK Board Member
ALEXIS HERMAN Secretary of Labor

## ALSO PRESENT:

CURTIS ARTIS, Lucent Technologies PAT THOMAS, AT&T

WILLIAM LUCY, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees

SANDRA FERNIZA, Arizona Hispanic Chamber of Commerce

JOHN SENA, IBEW, Local 111

ANTONIA OZERHOF, U.S. West, Law and Corporate Human Resources

GENE BLUE, Opportunities Industrialization Center

PEGGY DEWEY, Communications Worker

GEORGE RUSSELL, President, Indian Chamber of Commerce

# C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

SPEAKER	PAGE
MR. JOHN SENA	6
MS. SANDRA FERNIZA	8
MR. CURTIS ARTIS	10
MS. PEGGY DEWEY	11
MS. ANTONIA OZERHOF	14
MR. GENE BLUE	16
MR. GEORGE RUSSELL	18
MR. WILLIAM LUCY	21
MS. PAT THOMAS	33
AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION	60

2.0

2 | (1:45 p.m.)

SECRETARY HERMAN: Welcome back to everyone. I think we would all agree that we had a very informative discussion this morning and a suggestion, I think that was made -- we had a lot of concrete suggestions in terms of recommendations, ideas and strategies and this afternoon we have the opportunity to continue our discussion about race in the workplace with a specific focus on what employers are doing, what labor unions are doing to foster greater diversity, greater cooperation, to open even wider the doors of equal opportunity.

I am very pleased that we have a distinguished panel that is with us this afternoon to enable us in this discussion and as I said this morning in my opening remarks, I believe that we would all agree that whether employers do it for enlightened self-interest or because it is the right thing to do, the reality is that the more diverse our work force, the better able we are to truly take advantage of a global economy today and to insure that we are truly investing in all of our workers.

So I want to welcome everyone back to this afternoon's session and if you're just joining us, we're glad to see you. And I'm going to turn now to my two colleagues who will assist with the co-chairing

of this session this afternoon. The first is Linda Chavez-Thompson, who is the Executive Vice President, the National Executive Vice President for the AFL-CIO and I am found of calling Linda Chavez-Thompson my sister warrior in the struggle. Linda.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Thank you, Madam MS. CHAVEZ-THOMPSON: Secretary. This afternoon's forum is to talk about how unions contribute to not just better wages and better working conditions, decent benefits for workers also how they can contribute to a better understanding of race and diversity within the workplace. We hope to hear today from both corporate labor representatives on how accomplished some of their goals and I'm looking forward to hearing that, plus any ideas or suggestions that may come from the panelists or from the audience about what more needs to be done.

The American labor movement has initiated two initiatives as of today as I announced earlier and some of you may have heard; a publication that will be printed and distributed on September 1, 1998 addressing a practical guide for workers on how to make sure that diversity issues are addressed, racism, discrimination is eliminated in the workplace. But beyond that also, that we will make sure that the unions are counted in the dialogue that the President of the United States wants to have on what America

needs to do to become a more diverse nation in the workplace.

So I'm really looking forward to the comments and certainly looking forward to any suggestions and ideas that any of the panelists or the audience may have this afternoon.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very, very much, Ms. Thompson. And now Mr. Robert Thomas, who is a member of the President's Advisory Board, and Bob is also serving as the Executive Vice President for marketing for Republic Industries. Bob.

MR. THOMAS: Well, thank you, Madam Secretary and welcome to all the panelists. This is the second such meeting that I've attended and as a representative of business I can tell you that it's a great opportunity for us to be part of this outreach program. We think that the economic opportunities of which we'll be discussing today are one of the strong foundations of building one America. So we look with great interest to the discussions today and the interaction with the panelists and with the audience as well. So we'll learn a lot.

So, again, Madam Secretary, pleased to be here to help out.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very, very much, Mr. Thomas. And as I indicated, the focus this afternoon is on practical strategies and solutions of

what's working from your perspective, what are some of the things that you are doing to bring down the barriers in the workplaces that you have responsibility for.

I'm going to ask each of our panel members as they speak if you would introduce yourselves to our audience as we open up the discussion and I'm going to begin the discussion by calling on Mr. John Sena. Thank you for being with us.

## STATEMENT OF MR. JOHN SENA

MR. SENA: Thank you, Madam Secretary. My name is John Sena. I'm a Senior Assistant Business Manager for IBEW, Local Union 111, headquartered in Denver, Colorado. And one of the things that I get -- I've been on staff for 16 years working full time. One of the things that we implemented that I think has addressed the diversity in the workplace with our major employer was going into a partnership in conducting joint investigations.

The local union developed a human rights committee, which I am a chair of that committee. It consists of 12 members of the rank and file and that took place in 1990. In 1995 we finally entered into this letter of agreement or partnership with our employer. What we do is basically go out and conduct joint investigations when allegations involving the rank and file or bargaining unit personnel. From that

point, we come back and deliberate and determine whether there's been a violation of our discrimination and harassment policy.

We have -- in labor, in our local union, have found individuals, our members guilty of our policy and we have filed charges against those individuals under our IBEW constitution and fines as high as \$3,000.00 have been levied against that individual. In addition to that, the employer has taken punitive action in some cases and perhaps, given up to two weeks suspension.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Over what period of time, Mr. Sena, were you able to achieve these kind of results?

MR. SENA: We started having major problems, I think in -- that I started experiencing probably 1985. So it took us approximately 10 years to actually get to the joint process. That was through litigation and so forth like that. Besides we needed to basically find a way to cut down on the cost.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you. Our next guest is from the Chamber of Commerce and we would be very interesting in hearing, Ms. Ferniza, from your perspective are corporations really meeting the challenge today of encouraging diversity in their workplace and if so, what kinds of initiatives seem to

be making a real difference?

2.0

## STATEMENT OF MS. SANDRA FERNIZA

MS. FERNIZA: Thank you, Secretary Herman. Let me respond first with a general statement to that. Diversity in corporate America today is often defined and measured through demographics and dollars. Both of these measures are frequently used to shape and define policy. In Arizona we have a population that's over 28 percent minority and that number to corporate America can represent power, for instance, buying power in the Hispanic community of \$7 billion or it can represent fear backlashed against immigrants and those who might employ them. And that's based on our personal perspectives.

I would caution us and corporate America not to use demographics as a poster child for fear and competition among minorities or the general population because discrimination, whether by conquest, slavery or ignorance remains discrimination in any language or culture.

I think in corporate America, with some benign neglect from leadership in government, we have managed through time intentionally or unintentionally to make scapegoats of racial or culturally diverse people in order to lay blame for economic and social ills of our country. And those answers are really things like a technological revolution for which we

were not prepared, information technology, the end of the cold war which was based on defense spending and either the unwillingness or the inability to invest in education and worker retraining.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Those were the seeds of economic woes which I think are often confused with racial and cultural problems. Those remedies have in the past been addressed by law but they are just one tool. believe and we have some wonderful examples in the Chamber membership, where those have been addressed through need to be addressed through equal or educational opportunity and in that state and others that means school finance reform. And we need in corporate America to embrace at the highest level in the board room the value of diversity because we are dealing, as you stated Secretary Herman, with a global market, with consumers who don't necessarily look like white mainstream America.

And so we believe, at the Chamber, that programs that foster diversity as employees, as minority vendors and the recognition that the consumers of products and services will share the color of green is important.

SECRETARY HERMAN: And even though I agree that there's much more in terms of what we're seeing as trends beyond the demographics, I'm curious to know from your perspective looking at the employer

community is the demographic future a motivator to
have employees become more active to encourage more
diverse workplaces and I see Mr. Artis shaking his
head. So maybe I'll ask you from your perspective,
Mr. Artis.

## STATEMENT OF MR. CURTIS ARTIS

MR. ARTIS: Was that a deflection? I think the demographic future is a significant motivator. I think as people look up the corporate mainstream, they are influenced by what they see in terms of their perception of the opportunity that might exist for them. And so I think profile and certainly improving the profile as it exists in corporate America as well as other sectors, public as well as private, is really important for the future of people who have aspirations in our country.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Ms. Dewey, let's turn to you. You're the worker on the panel. Could you say more, too, in terms of your own background Governor Winters is asking?

# STATEMENT OF MS. PEGGY DEWEY

MS. DEWEY: Well, I am a common worker. This is an unusual forum for a person like me to be into and if it weren't for my labor union, I would not be in this forum. My background has always been in the work force as a worker and I'm very proud of that. For me, I'm successful. I don't strive to be anything

but what I am.

The experiences that I have experienced in the work force led me to become more involved in the diversity programs and one of the things that you talk about with the demographics being in Arizona, we have a large Hispanic speaking population here. And one of the things that we did not do is we did not service that community when Spanish was their first learned language. They couldn't communicate with us to order telecommunications service or to order repairs.

It was unionists that brought this to the forefront and had to convince our corporation --

MS. CHAVEZ-THOMPSON: Excuse me, give her another mike.

MS. DEWEY: Anyway it was unionists that brought this to the forefront and had to fight with corporate leaders to say, "This will be profitable", and had to prove that. It's called El Centro and it's -- you know, started out with like five representatives and now they have over 100 and they take Spanish speaking calls for 14 states. It's very profitable but the roadblocks that we face in convincing sometimes corporate America are huge.

With our union, The Communication Workers of America, and we are also affiliated with AFL-CIO, I was very happy to hear about the initiatives. I'm looking forward to that information but we have an

equity committee. And what we do is investigations on charges of discrimination not only against our corporate leaders, but against our unionists. I think it's important to acknowledge that not only is corporate America not where it needs to be but labor unions also have a little ways to go and just to acknowledge that we are working on that.

MS. CHAVEZ-THOMPSON: Ms. Dewey, you alluded to and I don't know if the microphone was on to what you said at the very beginning. You said that there was no -- there was no attempt and no one that was attending to the needs of the Spanish speaking for telecommunication services. You said something about it started out with five people and it has proved profitable.

MS. DEWEY: Yes

MS. CHAVEZ-THOMPSON: What are you talking about there? I mean, how profitable or it's grown from five people to what?

MS. DEWEY: I brought an associate with me who is actually one of the people that formed that. If it's okay, could I direct that to him? He's in the front row there.

MS. CHAVEZ-THOMPSON: If he's in the audience, perhaps, during the community forum if we could get, perhaps, an explanation of how did this work. How did the union bring this to the attention

that there was a need in the Spanish speaking community, how it was addressed and perhaps during the community forum, if your colleague would come up to the microphone and tell us a little bit about that,

I'd like to know more.

MS. DEWEY: I think that would be the best way to address it because he was more directly involved with that. He also has a very deep passion about that fight.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Ms. Ozerhof, speaking about corporate America, we talk about diversity being good business and impacting the bottom line but from your perspective and working with a major corporation, what are some of the real challenges that you think a company faces in dealing with the real issue of how to bring about a diverse work force?

## STATEMENT OF MS. ANTONIA OZERHOF

MS. OZERHOF: I'd like to address that by first stating what my background is and that may shed some light on how I come to these issues. I came to U.S. West with 11 years of experience in labor and employment litigation for large corporate employers. Since coming to U.S. West that shifted from the legal compliance component of diversity to the broader diversity picture as I think mirrors the way a lot of corporations have looked at diversity. In other words, it started out as legal compliance, we must do

this, plus it makes business sense from a litigation risk reduction and that kind of cost, but I think what I now understand and have come to really appreciate is the broader economic impact.

As far as the challenges you refer to, I think we've gotten pretty good at defining diversity, training internally as far as discrimination, looking towards certain source pools to bring in diverse candidates. I think where our big challenge is making sure those people are successful, changing our culture to appreciate that and to make sure those people can rise up and be at the top.

I think that it was Mr. Artis who said people want to look up and they want to say, "Well, my career, there's some place to go because there's people up there that I can identify with", and that's a self-fulfilling prophecy because if you have people in higher, you know, places within the corporation you will encourage diversity. Beyond that it's, again, education.

If I could have everyone in my work force understand that it's not a zero some game, that it's a win/win for employees, for customers and for shareholders, that would be my -- I will have won.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Mr. Blue, speaking of education and training, would you speak to us from your perspective then, a long history with an

organization that has invested in just that?

STATEMENT OF MR. GENE BLUE

DR. BLUE: Thank you very much, Madam Secretary. Yes, the Opportunities Industrialization Center has for more than three decades been in the forefront of really being a bridge, a conduit in preparing individuals and working directly with labor and corporate entities to provide the necessary training and preparation so that people can go in and compete without a concept or a perception that they are coming in somehow with green skins (ph) or with some special preference.

We have worked here in this city particularly and our name in and of itself came out of a protest, a protest to exclusion and as well as to segregation and discrimination, but moving from protest into progress, a progressive move that enabled us, not just here but other places around the country, to be a bridge.

Specifically in the city of Phoenix we're very, very proud of the fact that we worked with the city and addressed a specific need for diversity in race as well as in gender in the public safety area, the area of police and fire fighters. We were able to put together a project, a program that the city joined with us in financing and seeing that it came to fruition, of identifying ethnic minorities and women

to work in the fire department and police department.

It was not an easy task. It wasn't something that came about overnight. It took us some time to do it. There was a convincing, the first convincing in education was that individuals given an opportunity can succeed. That if you are in a house that's burning and you are on the ninth, tenth or whatever floor may be and you can't get out, are you interested if someone comes in and their skin color is different than yours are you going to refuse to be rescued or do you want that person to have the skills to rescue you.

We were assisted in that endeavor not just by the city of Phoenix, but by the unions that represented both the fire and police department. They continue to work with us and have committed their membership to reaching back and bringing in other individuals to work in that particular area. Education is key but I think we have to address this issue as something that hopefully in our lifetimes I trust we could come up with a cure. But it is a disease that at least we must control if we cannot cure it.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Blue. And I want to turn now to Mr. Russell to share with us from your perspective in this area.

MR. RUSSELL: Can you hear me?

SECRETARY HERMAN: I can hear you just fine.

2.0

#### STATEMENT OF MR. GEORGE RUSSELL

MR. RUSSELL: I am the President of the American Indian Chamber of Commerce and for all practical purposes we're the new kids on the block. Our Chamber was formed about two years ago and I'd like to give you just a little background about the Indian people.

One of the big problems in the community is that there are so many misperceptions about Indian people. People really don't understand them. And I think that would be one of the steps that corporate America could make would be to educate itself about Indians and find out what the true facts are.

A couple of things that I throw out at people when I talk to them is that Indians did not categorically become citizens of the United States until 1924. We were not allowed to vote in the state of Arizona until 1953. So we're a little show coming out of the chute but we're catching up very rapidly, playing catch-up. And I think it's evidenced by the formation of the Chamber. Indian business is rapidly becoming big business.

With the advent of the casino business, that seems to be our flagship in the economic world right now and there are different opinions about that

but it's much easier to deal with poverty when you have money.

(Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

One of the things I'd like to say on a positive note, that's happened in our community is that the city of Phoenix prepared a disparity study about three years ago and I was fortunate enough to be on the commission that was involved in the preparation of that disparity study and the monitoring of that study. And the city of Phoenix has done a human's job in compliance but one of the reasons I think that the compliance was kept in line was because we had a monitoring system in place. We kept track.

We meet at least twice a year to see what the numbers are, to see if the numbers are improving they've improved dramatically contracting opportunities with the city of Phoenix. Along another positive note, since I got involved with the Chamber, one of the things we're doing is we have Arizona Federation  $\circ$ f Minority an Business Associations and what we're doing is we're trying to pull everyone together into a coalition and that includes the ladies. And when that happens, we're not longer a minority. We become a majority at that point.

And I think it's in the best interest of corporate America to stay in tune to that line of

1 th:
2 sho
3 Amo
4 Ano
5 th:
6 dif
7 cou

thinking because I saw an article in <u>Time</u> magazine a short time ago and it said by 2040 that the face of America was going to be different shades of brown. And along that line I'd like to say that I look at this panel and I look at the audience and I see different shades of brown, and I wonder how many of us could go through a DNA analysis and say that we are all of anything.

I think we're all pretty much mixed now in one form or another. And those of us that are not aware of it, it could still be there. I saw a program on Oprah not too long ago where she said there are 28 million people in the country that have black blood in their background that don't even know it and it's the same thing with the Indian community.

We've been mixing inter-tribally for thousands of years and we've been mixing inter-racially for the last 500 years. And I think if the old folks would leave the kids alone, I think in a couple of generations it would all be a moot issue anyway. Thank you.

# (Applause)

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Russell. I want to turn now to Mr. Lucy. You're a union leader. What do you see as the challenge really that we face in achieving a more diverse work force as we go into the 21st century and what specific

strategies are you using or do you see others using that's making a difference?

#### STATEMENT OF MR. WILLIAM LUCY

MR. LUCY: Well, Madam Secretary, let me first express both my personal and institutional appreciation for us being allowed to be a part of this forum. We are an organization that represents a million 300,000 people across the public and private sector, so the problems we're discussing effects virtually all of those people.

We have, like a number of others here, have been trying to create the environment so that we can address the issues that flow out of these longstanding problems. We have been attempting to convince public sector employers first of all that discrimination exists both individually and systemic within their systems, aside from trying to convince the work force to be participants in seeking solutions.

And one of the first things we had to do in order to be credible was to accept the fact that racism and discrimination does exist both personally and systemically within the public as well as private sectors. We then had to accept the notion that even within the various groups effected as one brother said to me that it is the impact is inversionally proportional to color, meaning the lighter you are,

the less the impact; the darker you are, the greater and the quicker you notice the problem.

So we set out essentially to try and structure programs within the public sector that would address these and what we learned was in the public as well as the private sector, the drive for a diverse work force must begin first with an institutional commitment to a diverse work force. Diversity assumes that the excluded groups are present and you can sort of work with that, which in many cases is a false assumption.

And in pursuing the mission there must be a strong partnership between the union and the employer, a partnership which would produce a set of policies or a set of programs that all elements of the institution can buy into. And in our case, we obviously are addressing public sector employees the most, which makes the problem even more difficult. When I say elements, I mean the executive level, the mid-management level, the line supervisors' level and the work force because unless all of these come together, no diversity plan is going to be successful and our ultimate goal is quality of service.

The program as structured, at least it's been our experience, has to have the capacity to do a number of things. It has to have the capacity to educate those who make decisions about the work force.

1 It has to have the capacity to train those decision 2 makers in the implications of their decisions, both 3 real and perceived. It must be able to investigate 4 and resolve problems both real and perceived and in many cases, the perception for folks become the reality. 6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

And I think as a brother here was saying, we must be able to address both the reality and the perception. And the programs must be credible in that they must demonstrate that they are both fair and they are effective. We don't have to really to an awful lot of studying to realize that promotions, evaluations, and all of those things that deal with upper mobility are very subjective judgments and unless the program deals with that, it's not going to be effective and won't work.

Diversity must, in our opinion, address some tangible problems rather than the feel good issues and concepts. Somebody in the audience this morning mentioned the sort of Kumbaya, you know, attitude or feeling. First, it has to make an argument on behalf of the excluded if they are not present in the work force. I mean, you can't have diversity if their groups are excluded from whatever the local demographics may be. It has to be credible enough to address the resultant income and equalities within the groups who is involved and this has to be

done by the program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

It can't be done by us as a union absent the participation of the employer. And then at the end of the day, the results must be comparable. I don't think it will be credible if you still have within the same categories and classifications of workers disparities in responsibilities, disparities in wages. So I guess in sum, we think the trade union movement must be made full partners with the employers, whether they be private or public in pursuit of a fair and equitable workplace.

We have our contractual relationship that speaks to grievances and unfair treatment but if we're going to have a harmonious workplace, you can't get there by contract. It must be by partnership.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you. Doctor Franklin, you wanted to respond.

CHAIRMAN FRANKLIN: Madam Secretary, I wanted to pursue this with Mr. Lucy who is really one of the truly great authorities in this area. It's my impression and correct me if I'm wrong, that there's a natural almost inevitable tension -- I don't use that word disparagingly but almost inevitable tension between management and labor. They are working in the enterprise but their objectives same are not necessarily the same.

The worker is interested in advancing his

own well-being by securing higher wages. The employer interested in advancing his own well-being by keeping the cost of his product down, which means keeping the cost of labor down. How is it that you're going to work as a team? How is it that you're going to achieve some kind of cooperation on a common objective like diversity or racial heterogeneity in the workplace if his argument is that the more we get -- the more you and he can cooperate in his program, the more it is for you as a worker, the more you can get out of the thing as a worker and don't rock the boat, disturb it by bringing all these elements of diversity and whatnot. Just keep quiet on that and let's just work together and get you a good wage and get me a good bottom line.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

So how do you reconcile these what I call almost inevitable, certainly natural tensions between these two major groups, the employers and the employees?

MR. LUCY: Well, first, I think it, obviously, depends upon the nature of the product, how resistant the employer is to diversity. I mean, if your market is a diverse market and you want to respond to it, then you'll respond to a diverse work force. It depends a lot upon whether or not you think good management practices really impacts positively on the bottom line. And I think study after study after

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

study suggests that good management practices produces a strong committed work force which produces a strong commitment to the company.

I think you've got the issue to deal with is workers only pursue higher wages in reaction to higher prices. I don't think you've ever seen a situation where wages led prices. It's the other way around. Negotiating a relationship between employer and the work force is about wages and The new discussion is about workers having benefits. a voice in the manner in which production capacity is arranged. Most studies we see argue strongly that our work is arranged in a way that the system itself produces cost inefficiencies.

Our theory is that a few folks who are smarter ought to be telling a lot of folks who are not so smart how to do it. Most international studies suggest that's the wrong way around. I forget the gentleman's name who did so many studies but suggested when you bring workers into the discussion about the production capacity, both interests are met. I think Saturn (ph) is sort of suggesting that that's been the key to -- I guess it was Deming.

> SECRETARY HERMAN: Demina.

MR. LUCY: Deming who suggested that least at the Japanese model produce one of the strongest economies and all U.S. corporations bought

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

everything Deming said except the question of giving workers job security. I mean, there is where the inevitable tensions lie. A worker wants to know that they have a job next week, next month, next year. they will cooperate with a company in any way, shape or form or fashion.

But if they're going to contribute to their demise, I think there's a strong reluctance to I don't know that the tensions are that. inevitable. I think our corporate community, and this certainly is not condemning, does not have what I would call a sense of corporate patriotism, meaning a commitment to their workers and their markets to the same degree that they're committed to total profit.

MR. ARTIS: I feel compelled to follow if First, the notion that I may on several points. management and union are inherently adversarial on all issues is anachronistic. I think that there are many issues on which management and the union together cooperate to solve workplace and business problems together and, frankly, I think that is the future of management/union relations in this country.

At Lucent Technologies there are many examples of where AT&T and now Lucent and TWA and IBEW have collectively bargained programs and initiatives that are in the best interests of both the company and the employees. An example, is the employee

assistance program which helped employees who had problems with drugs and alcoholism and family problems and gambling problems, Mr. Russell. And we cooperated on that.

We have scholarship programs. We have training programs that we have jointly developed and deployed for our employees that we think are both good for the employees and good for the company.

On the issue of workplace diversity, I want to say that I'm not exactly sure how it's done elsewhere but at Lucent Technologies management is extremely committed to diversity and we view that the leadership on diversity must come from the top of the organization. Our top leadership team is one of the most diverse in the country. All you have to do is pick up our annual report and the evidence is there. You just look at the pictures of the top 17 people.

If you look at the officer cadre or the executive cadre, the top 400 people in the company, there's diversity there. If you look throughout our business, you see cooperation with groups that we call -- that call themselves rather, employee business partners. Some people refer to them as affinity groups. There's HISPA, the Hispanic employee organization, ABLE, the Black employee organization. There is a Native American employee organization. We partner

with them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

fund development conferences. executives go and speak at the conferences. It's about professional development. It's about networking and we support that. We also support programs such as leadership forums that insure that the top commitment of the leadership at Lucent is there. We have adopted a policy of intolerance of intolerance because we believe that while demographics are important, demographics are not a panacea and that demographics often hide what we perceive to be pockets of the firm and intolerance in have committed we ourselves as the leadership of Lucent Technologies to going after and eliminating them.

Me also believe that if you're not measuring and if you're not keeping score, you're just practicing. So matrix and measurements are deployed so that we're not practicing. We have everything from 360 degree feedback, to report cards, to profile monitoring by organization, something we call the value in people index, where we monitor with questions like, "Do you feel that your management respects differences amongst people in your organization", as well as a number of other questions that tell us what the barometer is with respect to not just quantitative progress in our business but also qualitative, the quality of the environment in which our people operate

is equally as critical to progress and diversity as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

We have training and development programs, diversity council networks in all of the business units and in some sub-business units and we have a very strong MWE program and lest there be pockets of intolerance, we also have a strong monitoring and compliance system. With a single number 800 any employee can call a single 800 number with a complaint of discrimination and we attack those ourselves, investigate them and eliminate them and redress them.

We also have an environmental scanning capability at corporate that allows us to go out into a factory or a center and do a complete environmental scan and report to leaders both at the factory and/or center and at corporate on our findings and then develop specific action plans that are time bounded to Now, we do this because we consider get at that. diversity to be an element of our competitive advantage. We're fairly hard-nosed business people, business men and women and we think that the connection to the business results for us diversity is very strong, not only from the ability to be able to attack, retain and develop the best talent but also in terms of being able to nurture different and creativity so that we're competitive in our industry.

So I just want to make those comments. I think that companies and unions can and must come together and have. I think there's a significant amount of evidence that they have come together to attack these kinds of workplace problems.

CHAIRMAN FRANKLIN: Madam Secretary, I deliberately did not use adversarial because I think adversarial means one thing and tension means another and I think that tension is not necessarily unhealthy. It's, as a matter of fact, is healthy as long as we understand exactly what the nature of it is and both Mr. Lucy and Mr. Artis brought out precisely, if I may say so, was hoping would be brought out. But it did not have to do with adversary. It had to do with the kind of -- the kind of tension that really is self-constructive if used properly and if interpreted properly. And so I very much appreciate the response from both of you.

MR. ARTIS: Thank you for asking the question.

MR. LUCY: Just to follow up on even Mr. Artis' comment, we're talking about one America in the 21st century and the 21st century as far as the workplace is going to be radically different than it is now and both the interest of the corporate community and the interest of worker organizations will be radically different. They are changing now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

We're not dealing with whether or not we'll have a global economy. We have a global economy already and our mutual interest is tied up in how effectively we can compete in this new environment.

And as trade unionists we recognize that there's got to be a new relationship built and I think the foundation of that relationship has to be a diverse and productive work force.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Mr. Lucy has talked about not only the need to have more synergy with labor and management but there are clear pay-outs and clear benefits. I want to ask you, Ms. Thomas, coming from a corporation that has gone through a number of transformations in its own culture, how have you seen those benefits pay out practically in your work environment?

## STATEMENT OF MS. PAT THOMAS

Well, I consider myself a MS. THOMAS: So far I've survived 17 years as an AT&T operator and I'll tell you the first year I was there, I didn't think I'd ever be here this long. And I'm also a Communication Workers of America Steward, Local 7019 here, and I think because of the union I have this job. I've been able to support my family because I can make a decent living.

And one thing I see a lot in operator services now is re-engineering, that's our new term for, you know, layoffs and relocations and one thing

I've seen in my department a lot is Black people

moving -- being forced to move to Phoenix here and it

has caused a problem. You know, like I said, there's

only three percent of Black people here in Arizona.

I'm a native actually but I can remember when I lived

in Virginia, people were saying to me, you know, "I

didn't know there were Black people in Arizona", and

I think we still have that perception a lot.

One problem that I've seen in the office with the people moving in, a lot of people, different people have come into the office and I don't really like the term "minority". It really seems like you're different and there's something wrong with you, so we'll just say people that are a little different have come in. And we had one problem in our office. I put up a Black history display and there was a note left up on another bulletin board that kind of mentioned white power and watermelon and fried chicken which I wasn't real happy about but luckily in our office we've got an area vice president with the union.

She's kind of gone through discrimination herself. She's white. She's with an Irish background but, you know, her attitude is, "I've been there, I've done that", and anything I bring to her, any ideas that I bring to her, "Let's try to work this out, let's see if we can make this better", she says, "Yes,

yes, let's try that". So I'm really happy about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

You know, we don't ever get everything worked out but we're working towards accomplishing something.

SECRETARY HERMAN: And do you think your management supports this flexibility and the desire to achieve some different outcomes? Do you feel supported in your own environment that way?

MS. THOMAS: Yes, we're working on them. Even they are starting to say, "Yes".

SECRETARY HERMAN: Ms. Ozerhof, you talked about the fact that if you could have more leaders, diverse leadership in the top echelons of the company and assuming that we are investing in the education and training that we need to have in workers that we can be successful in diversifying our work forces, and I want to ask you, assuming that we have those ingredients and assuming that as Mr. Lucy had said we recognize that you have to have institutional buy-in, that there has to be framework to know that this is something we have to do for the greater good of the business to move it, then one would say, "Well, that's just common sense. doesn't everybody do it"? Why doesn't everybody just do it?

MS. OZERHOF: That's a good question. First, I want to make clear that sort of following up

1 | wid 2 | ma 3 | fo 4 | ju 5 | le 6 | su 7 | ca

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

with Mr. Artis' comments, we do have, compared to the majority of corporate America, a fairly diverse work force and at the senior levels of my corporation. I just see it needs to increase. And when I say the top level, I mean, the pools that are below that, making sure that it's a constant, constant push, because you can never be satisfied as far as I'm concerned in this area.

Many things in the It is common sense. workplace are common sense but we don't always do them, don't know if Ι can answer I think a lot of it psychological question. historical. If you look at different parts of the company I think you'll probably find a little more -you'd probably find a lot more buy-in at leadership level and maybe not so much out in the trenches. It has to get down to the work force and it has to be pushed down.

So people understand it, but implementing it is the key and I don't -- I don't have the absolute answer to that. Programs are important. The constant reminder that you can't just set up a program but you have to continue to put the resources into it and continue to remind people. You can't just be satisfied with the numbers. You have to keep pushing. But I can't answer your question.

CHAIRMAN FRANKLIN: May I ask a question

- -

SECRETARY HERMAN: Doctor Franklin, yes.

CHAIRMAN FRANKLIN: -- of Ms. Ozerhof and anyone else? If this is -- if this cooperation of labor and management is so important and is so obvious, and if the absence of discrimination is so heathy, I wonder why is it that some of the really major companies in this country have been caught in such obvious violations of the most fundamental human decency.

I'm not going to mention them by name. I don't want to get in any trouble but one of the biggest oil companies in this country has had a disgraceful record. One of the biggest fast food companies in this country has had -- they've been caught, you know, violating human decency. One of the biggest auto rental companies in this country has been found guilty of that and they've had to spend millions and millions of dollars protesting all the time that they want to settle but they weren't guilty but they want to settle just to keep things moved forward as they say and that sort of thing.

If all this is so good, if the absence of discrimination is so obviously good for the -- for everybody concerned, why do these big companies with all this talent at their disposal, why do they carry on like this? I just wonder what the problem is.

Don't they see what you see?

MS. DEWEY: No, they don't, open mike. I don't feel that they do. I feel that by the time people have reached that plateau they're pretty far removed from the common worker and they don't see what happens in the workplace day after day. They don't see what the policies are. I've heard, you know, several times, diversity programs. Well, a program has a start and an end and when it ends, then what happens. Then we revert back to the behavior because employees will look back and say, "See, I told you, it was a program and it's gone now", and so there's no repercussions.

I think if we're truly going to change our workplaces it needs to be a process and it needs to be constant and it needs to be there day after day after day and people need to know that it's not okay to discriminate. It is not okay to mark up signs in the workplace. It's not okay to do these things. There has to be -- that has to be in the forefront all the time and it has to be a way that we conduct our business.

Our customers don't all look the same either. And when we come out -- I'm a technician. I go to people's houses and to their businesses and they don't all look like me and I don't want them to all look like me, but they need to know, we need to know

also that they are diverse. And in order to serve our customers, no matter what business we're in, we need to reflect that I don't want to say tolerance, acceptance. We need to respect them and treat them with dignity.

We don't, you know, often do that to just our employees let alone customers. I think that the problem is when you get to a certain point you've lost touch of what really happens on a day to day working basis. How many people that are employees know what the vice president of their company looks like? I know what he looks like because I make it a point to see his picture but most of the people I work with haven't got a clue.

A director that comes into the workplace, which is like three levels up, they ask him, "Who are you". So they don't know what happens day after day and that's what needs to happen to make these changes. We have to pay more attention as to what's going on and that diversity needs to be a process rather than a program so there's not an ending and everybody knows that it's not going to stop. It's going to continue and these changes have to be made.

MS. FERNIZA: Secretary Herman?

SECRETARY HERMAN: Yes.

MS. FERNIZA: I would like to address Doctor Franklin's comment. Sometimes I think we have

in the community viewed the types of abuses that he outlined in those unnamed corporations a schizophrenia in corporate America which has to -- as Ms. Dewey just put it, has to go beyond addressing a legal remedy for

a past problem.

It has to be inculcated in the philosophy of the corporation from the highest leadership and that's the board room and I think that if you look at those numbers that we talked about that report card that we can measure, that our board rooms in corporate America are sometimes absent the diversity that we're looking for. That while we are beginning to see some increases in some of that, the numbers don't speak to that. And that's a board room that is responsive not only to the law but to a shareholder and I think someone spoke earlier of the trade-offs over the profitability and the patriotism that a corporation must engage in with its employees to help contribute to that profitability.

So it's interesting to me that for instance chambers such as ours who receive strong corporate support and we have some excellent role models here in our community who participate with us on minority vendor programs and job opportunities for minorities and look to us for counsel in that area, at the same time I find I encounter their lobbyists in the halls of the legislature and in city halls

campaigning against programs that have provided remedies, et cetera. And that's what I refer to as the corporate schizophrenia about how to deal with the issue of diversity in the workplace.

At the same time you have a third arm with that corporate schizophrenia. You have the marketing department who has now recognized that the consumer is black, it's brown, it's yellow, it's red and you hear and see advertising that's beginning to reflect what we look like, but that's just beginning. You have things like El Centro and U.S. West Communications. You have things like Quenta Tel (ph) at Bank of America. You have things like the vendor minority program at Arizona Public Service, all of which we're very proud of but that has to be coupled with participation in the board room because I guarantee you that the lowest level of employee is looking to leadership from above.

So it's a two-way street.

CHAIRMAN FRANKLIN: Who is lobbying the legislature? Who engages that lobbyist?

MS. FERNIZA: I think corporate America has sufficient representatives in the halls of public government.

CHAIRMAN FRANKLIN: Well, to be specific though, if a particular corporate executive or a particular corporation is engaging a lobbyist to fight

legislation that would be what they would call unfavorable to them in the area say of employment or equity and whatnot, Ι don't see how schizophrenia if the same people in the board room are employing the lobbyists to lobby against a legislation that they would regard as emphathetical to the 

interest.

MS. FERNIZA: Well, I think that I would not want to characterize my statement as we have corporate America lobbying against affirmative action programs if you would. But I think what we have is a corporate America who sometimes in their effort to preserve a legislation that enhances their profitability often look to programs as someone said, as something that is a cost center versus a profit center and therefore, do I need to implement this.

Can I do this on a marginal basis? And it's interesting because I think we're seeing changes in corporate America on that who is now placing value on a diverse work force, but we often times in minority communities see corporate America as supporting groups or not necessarily legislation but groups who are opposed to the types of things that have made advances in our community and I think we have to be -- at least acknowledge that that exists.

You have to be able to sit down with members of that corporation and talk about, you know,

1 what this practice does and its impact on some of the programs they've adopted. As I said, I think the key 2 3 is not necessarily that they've adopted a program but 4 that they have inculcated as part of their corporate philosophy a commitment to diversity because it's good, as someone said earlier, a demographic motivator 6 7 for their consumers and because it is the right thing to do, because in the future it is the minority 8 9 community who will pay for the retirement of others.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

It is a community who is under-educated right now, who will be tomorrow's work force and we have to be conscious of that.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Mr. Thomas, do you want to get in on this discussion?

MR. THOMAS: Well, I just, from the inside of being somebody in the corporate board rooms, I really recognize a lot of what I hear here and I would just say that's probably one of the reasons that we have the Dilbert cartoon is very depictive of real life situations. But from somebody who's been on both sides of this and looked at it from the uninformed to maybe more informed on it, one of the things that you find is that there is sort of an overriding issue here and there's a lot of resistance to change.

And the status quo is very, very powerful and that can come true on any -- we happen to be talking about racial issues and sensitivity and that type of thing, but it can also be sexual harassment. And I thought Ms. Ozerhof caught the flavor of what happens in corporations a lot in that you get to where you are insensitive to it and it's the good old boys and it's the locker room mentality and it's anything goes because we're just having fun and we're all alike here and it doesn't hurt anybody to well, we'd better watch it because somebody's going to sue us if we don't.

And when you get to that stage, yes, you can get some training involved but you're way, way far short of getting anywhere. And you have to eventually get to where you have to have a renaissance of thought, epiphany or something, that changes the whole mentality of all the people involved so that those thoughts don't occur, not in private, not in public, that they don't occur. And until you get to that point, you're going to have these instances of abuse or communication abuse or lack of sensitivities and that's -- I'm struck by the polarities here.

You need the people at the top to do that but just as Ms. Thomas said, you also need the supervisor. Her view of her company's views on racial issues is how her supervisor responds to that and there could be five levels above that said, "Hey, that's -- we believe in it", but if her supervisor doesn't believe in it, somebody can interrupt that

chain. And there's -- it's a tough issue for all of corporate America to get involved in and they should view what's happening here in the discussion very, very harshly.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Let me go to Mr. Blue and come back to Mr. Lucy.

DR. BLUE: Madam Secretary, I wanted to comment as well regarding this discussion. I think the -- within the corporate board rooms, as Mrs. Thomas had mentioned, and within the corporate community. One of the things, Doctor Franklin, I don't think that we've had change in the corporations because there haven't been penalties. Certainly there haven't been the kind of penalties that have been assessed recently regarding conduct or remarks or treatment of individuals and that is where the law, I think, comes into place and must not just be maintained but be strengthened.

I think that one of the things that is very evident is that a sense of a loss of control or loss of power if there is shared in that board room as well as in management a different type of persons. Folks like what Mr. Thomas said, "Well, yes, somebody comes in that doesn't look like me". It's a different type of person, but I think that it has to be recognized, has to be put on the table that the difference is there and that rather than looking at a

difference of ethnicity, a difference of gender or a difference in terms of language, if it really comes down to the corporate bottom line, it all contributes to the green.

And I think maybe if, in fact, the folks who look at -- marketplaces are looked at not at a minority community, not at, you know, this particular area but that is truly one of the things that contributes to our bottom line. They process green just like everyone else does and we need that process in the highest levels, in the board rooms, making decisions so that the corporate entities can certainly obtain their share of that wealth, then we will see some change.

I agree with you, it has to be a change. Change is something that is resisted, not just in this instance but I think, you know, like folks get comfortable. They are comfortable, they are not going to challenge. There has not been an outcry saying that we need to be in the policy making area. That is what is starting to take place now and I trust there's going to not just be a report but it will gain momentum and that we can really have some absolute changes, because there will not be a loss of power, it really will be a win/win situation if everyone is represented at the board table.

REV. JOHNSON COOK: Could you speak a

little more? I think you're right on, Mr. Blue, in terms of power issue, in terms of how it will be a win/win, because I think the real issue is the power loss and to answer your question, Mr. Franklin, I think that a lot of people are comfortable where they are and so the assumption is that everybody wants to end discrimination and there are a whole lot of people I can guarantee while we're meeting here who are on the golf course and other places saying, "How do we hold onto what we've got"?

So I think that that's part of the problem, that not everybody wants to change and a lot of people are afraid of losing their power. I entered the ministry 17 years ago as the first African American woman of my denomination and men from all over the world came together because they were saying, you know, "Woman cannot enter the ranks of the ministry. We cannot share this power with them".

Seventeen years later, however, the discussions of change because there have been so many of us who have entered the rank, that there's been a certain new level of comfort saying, "Hey, we can do this thing together and perhaps, there's some other gifts that women bring that we didn't have", but it took 17 years of really kind of penetrating the conscience and kind of for women staying persistent and on course for the change and to keep raising our

voices as there were men then also who became our counterparts and raised their voices with us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

But it took a long time but the real issue is power. Although it was disguised as being a gender issue, it was really a power issue, "We do not want to share this with you". And I think that's the same thing that we're seeing in corporate America. So I wanted to just push a little more, Mr. Blue, on how it would be helpful to those who do not do it at this point to see that it would be a win/win situation.

DR. BLUE: The examples in corporate America, I think, their bottom line is perhaps one of the greatest influences toward those individuals who are resisting change and who are -- and it's fear. It's a fear of loss of a controlled environment that has been the purview of the few for so long. that certainly the entities that exercise diversities Mr. Artis was talking about, if we look at we've had a diversification, we've gone through diversity, we've been inclusive and it has not caused any loss of business, as a matter of fact, it has caused us to expand our business and to expand our particular areas that we're working in, I think those kinds of examples need to be looked at and analyzed and talked about on the golf course as well, as well as in sessions like this.

That if individuals who are in the

positions now and who fear that by having other folks come in, having the ethnic diversity, having the gender diversity, by looking at what is happening to those companies that recognize it as an asset but not as a liability, then I think we can see some changes and they should be lauded and promoted with companies that resist that kind of change.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MR. ARTIS: If I could add onto that, I think it also takes a measure of courage and commitment. Our chairman, Henry Shot (ph) tells a story about when he was with Cummins Engine and he was promulgating the notion that differences are good for the business not bad for the business and, therefore, we needed to be more inclusive at all levels, one of his executive vice presidents, because Henry was so relentless, approached him one day in private and said, "Henry, you know, I think you're taking this diversity thing too far. I've thought about it a lot and I'm not sure I can commit to this. I think you're just going too far with this".

And Henry said, "Are you sure". The guy said, "Well, I've thought about it and I just -- I can't buy into it". And what he said to him was, "Then we'll help you find employment some place else because that's what we're about, that's what we believe in", and in fact, they did that. They helped him find a job some place else where he could be

happier. But I think it takes courage for leaders to step up to the accountability dimension particularly where you have other leaders whose behavior is inconsistent with what you desire but whose results are very good, whose bottom line results are very

good.

You've got to step up to the plate and say, "You're a great producer, you're making a lot of money for us but your beliefs and your behavior are inconsistent with what we're trying to do, inconsistent with the future we're trying to achieve and therefore, you have to leave". That requires courage and leaders, I think, have to step up to the plate and be held accountable for demonstrating that kind of courage.

The other point I wanted to make is that often as Ms. Dewey said, we lose connection with what's really happening in the workplace. Leaders get reports, they get whatever people give them and often they're sort of floating around frankly thinking things are fine when they're falling apart because of the filtered information that they get.

And so what we found we have to do in Lucent is we have to go after the information we need rather than accepting the information that people choose to give us. And some of the information is filtered precisely because of the reasons that others

have proffered here and that is because it's a threat particularly to people in the middle of the organization. And they, therefore, are trying to survive themselves and will not provide to you information that frankly makes them look culpable.

And so I think that also is something that we, as leaders, have to care for if we're going to avoid the trap that the companies that Doctor Franklin referred to and fall into it ourselves.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Mr. Lucy?

MR. LUCY: Going back to Doctor Franklin's, I don't want to call it a hypothesis, but that kind of behavior ought to be interpreted as corporate governance and as such, it effects the value of the corporation. This unknown corporation didn't change their attitude, they changed their behavior and they changed their behavior not because they were penalized but because they lost shareholder value.

They lost \$2 billion in 48 hours. That gets your attention. What we have come to learn and beginning to analyze, who are the corporate owners. That winds up being a whole host of people who never know where the annual meeting is going to take place but if they begin to understand that corporate behavior effects their value, then they will demand more of their management.

What is also happening is as this

globalization and movement of productive capacity goes into national, I would find it hard to believe that someone who manufactured chop sticks would be anti-Chinese. I mean, the logic is that you will respond to your product market. If that's true then when we look internationally we can see some relief in behavior here because the markets are going to be in Asia, the markets will be in Africa, the markets will be in developing countries.

As we look towards the extension of let's say the North American Free Trade Agreement and the markets in this hemisphere, whether it's Guatemala, whether it's Argentina, whether it's Brazil, we ought to get a different corporate behavior based upon where our partners and our markets are.

I mean, it follows logically. The issue is power. Folks are much more comfortable the way it was and the way it is than the way we're not sure it's going to be and I think the issue is green. And it may well be that from a policy point of view we want to figure out how to equate corporate or management behavior with corporate value and then everybody's got something at stake in the process.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Ms. Thompson?

MS. CHAVEZ-THOMPSON: I just had a question for Mr. Sena and it was based a little bit upon what Mr. Artis had to say about how they dealt

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

with someone who was not happy with the diversity question or the program. When you deal management, your union committee finds against a management person, do you find the cooperation and the commitment from your management to enforce administration of the rules of anti-discrimination or the harassment or anything like that? Is that commitment there from your management?

> MR. SENA: It is now.

MS. CHAVEZ-THOMPSON: And that's --

MR. SENA: And the only reason for that is because of the almighty dollar. What we did before 1995 is assist our members in suing their employer and through litigation all of a sudden it got clear to the corporate room saying, "Look, we don't want to be another oil company, we've got to do something". from that point in time, they come out with zero tolerance and that came from the board and it's since we be confidential but supposed to together, yes, they do enforce.

When we find in an investigation, joint investigation, that management is the perpetrator, we will write a letter to management officials, it's usually the senior vice president of Human Resources, and at that point in time we tell them what is taking place. We don't give a recommendation that, you know, what to do with this individual or what have you like that; just tell them, "This is taking place. If it is not corrected, then we will take action through litigation". It comes out that nine times out of 10 that that manager, supervisor, whoever, has been either disciplined in the form of a suspension, moved to a different location or up to terminated.

SECRETARY HERMAN: I want to go back to this question of courage and how one gets courage to do the right thing. I've heard three things as we've talked; the deterrent that obviously the law itself can be in terms of enforcing the law and the penalties that are associated for discriminating in the workplace. I've heard the theme of the bottom line, it's in the enlightened self-interest or it's just in the basic business interest of the corporation.

And I heard a little bit of us talking about, and some from you Bob particularly in this area, even peer pressure, peer influence or what everybody else is doing. I was struck recently being in New York City about two months ago at the awards banquet for Working Mothers magazine and the awarding of the top 100 corporations that are fostering balancing work and family practices today in the workplace. And I was struck by the fact that a leading CEO, one of the top 20 corporations in this country was seated next to me and said that CEO's today want to be as much a part of that roster as they

do to be listed on the roster of the Fortune 500.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

So as you think about these three areas, is the law a deterrent, how important is peer pressure, you know, the bottom line. Where is the real motivator? How does one, quote, "get the courage" to act and lead from a leadership perspective and that's an open question but I'm going to start with you, Bob, since --

MR. THOMAS: Well, I'll just give you one perspective because I think everybody will have a lot of different perspectives, but I think of the scenario you presented. I think the psychic income reward is or penalty is the strongest one. If there was a list of companies of which you aspired to be on or definitely not to be on, and that could be national, local, whatever, I think that would be the most powerful activity because you are absolutely right, that courage is everything because one of the things that happens and I characterize it as resistance to change, one of the things that happens to anybody and it can be the top person in the company, it can be the semi-top, middle, whoever tries to initiate that change, if anybody resists that they have a very articulate way of sabotaging it and that is that you are diverting us from the real activities, the real purposes of this company which are to produce widgets, sell widgets, whatever.

And it is a great rallying cry of those who want to sabotage whatever initiative it is. And so whomever is going to lead that initiative, if they don't have an outside reward, stimulus, penalty by which to reference to, the courage may not last long.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Other comments? Mr. Russell?

MR. RUSSELL: You know, in listening to this, it sounds like an awful lot of -- there needs to be an awful lot of education on the board level and I'm wondering if there could be some type of program initiated either by the stockholders or some other type of agency that the big boys go to school, that they develop some type of cultural sensitivity as part of their job.

That they have to take a course or some proof that they have given attention to this issue because that's what I keep hearing from the different people is that if we had this cultural sensitivity on the board level, that it's lacking there most of all. So I wonder if that would be possible to implement some type of program to send the big boys to school.

SECRETARY HERMAN: I'm going to call on Ms. Thomas because her hand has been up and after that I want to invite the audience as well to join in this discussion. We have staff that will have mikes available, if you can simply go to where those mikes

will be in the aisles. And I would ask that you keep
your comments brief and concise and if you have a
question to the panelists or to the board members,
that you be clear and direct in your question to a
particular individual.

Ms. Thomas?

MS. THOMAS: Just briefly, I think what we really need to have is affirmative action in the board room. You know, if you look like me, you know, and you're not likely to allow that type of thing to go on. So, let's move the people that are not up in that position up to that position.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Leadership at the top.
Ms. Dewey?

MS. DEWEY: I think we've done a lot of talking about corporate America and how it's going to effect -- how they need to change. I would be remiss if I didn't talk about organized labor and the fact that we also need to change. We need to implement the same types of changes. We need to value the diversity that we have within our ranks and we need to make sure that we mentor them to move up within the leadership of our unions.

So I just didn't want it to look like we were dumping on corporate America.

MS. CHAVEZ-THOMPSON: And I want to say as well that the American labor movement has begun to

make some of those changes since the new leadership
took over two years ago. Bill Lucy serves as a vice
president of the national AFL-CIO and in my capacity
one of my responsibilities is to make sure that we
build the coalitions and the partnerships to make sure
that the inclusion and the diversity of organized
labor reflects what's happening in America today.

SECRETARY HERMAN: We'll start on this side of the aisle.

## AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION

MR. VISIE: (ph) Thank you very much for hearing me. My name is Avar Visie, Junior.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Can you come down closer so we can see you? Thank you.

MR. VISIE: I'm a dues paying member with AFSCME 2384 city of Phoenix, also a dues paying member for IBEW 640. And what a coincidence, I looked at this panel and I was here earlier and I was cut short, not given a chance to speak, so I hope you give me just a few minutes longer, but to see that Mr. Blue is sitting there. And I thought I started out right back in the '70's when I took his class at OIC because he was the director of training.

I graduated from high school. Then I took his course. I got accepted into the apprenticeship program. I did what I thought was right. I went on forward to work in the labor movement until work was

not good here. One thing that we forgot to mention
here today, we're taking the issues here in Arizona.

This is a right to work state. So not only because
I'm Hispanic but also because I'm a union member, I've
got a double whammy against me.

And that is very clear on what goes on at work. We are dealt with improperly, unfairly because of the disciplinary actions taken against us. When we do something wrong, we get the maximum amount of disciplinary action. When management does something wrong, they get the minimum.

And I think you said from the very beginning it has to start at the top. So where do you go with this thing. When you do everything right that you should do, I'm an Eagle scout, 30 years in the Boy Scouts of America. I sit on the largest non-profit board in the Southwest of Arizona, here at Chicanos Por La Casa, been on there going on 15 years. I've done I think everything right.

I've got a clean record. I didn't throw myself out of the loop going to prison, doing jail time. I've done what I thought was right and as a shop steward, I push for fairness for amongst all of us, whether you're non-union or union and you get labeled. You become the person they don't want and that's why we never advance because one thing that everybody forgets is once you get to the top, you have

to help your brother behind you. You have to bring them up through the ranks. You have to help them along, but that's why they don't bring us up, because they know you're a fair person and they know you're going to do that and they don't want to lose that power structure that that young lady was talking about over there.

So what do you do? If anything you take back to President Clinton, you tell him that what do you do for us that are mistreated and not -- and the thing is, I'm a government employee. How about the other brothers and sisters out here that have no backing, that work for those corporations you talked about? How about them? They're getting 10-fold the amount of discrimination that I'm getting, but I'm trying to do better for my family and move up the ladder to help to bring these other brothers and sisters up.

SECRETARY HERMAN: I don't want to cut you off but I've just counted 30 people in the line and I think with respect to the others, maybe we could respond to what you said and hopefully give the others an opportunity.

MS. VISIE: Can I just finish very, very briefly? This thing of EEOC, you can forget it, because you give that right to sue letter, I don't have the money. I have a family just like everybody

1 else out here that has a family. We can't afford that 2 attorney. That's how the EEOC gets away with that. 3 They give you that right to sue letter to get you out 4 the door.

getting worse.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SECRETARY HERMAN: I think we would all agree that the EEOC in particular --

So it's failing. It's failing and it's

(Applause)

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you for your comments, has been without the resources to do much of its work in recent years and that's one of the reasons that I'm hopeful that the recent increases at least in just dealing with much of the backlog of the EEOC can be dealt with, but you're absolutely right. There's more that we have to do to enforce the laws, quite frankly, and to make sure that we are protecting the rights of all workers.

And I would just say to you, I hear your frustration but you too have to hang in there and keep fighting, because it takes everybody really at whatever level you're in today in our society if we want to make a difference for the better.

Now, I want to ask each of the individuals who are standing in line if we could really try to keep this tight to a minute, because there really are 30 people standing and in order to be able to move to the next session on time and give you the time, we

1 2 3 this side of the aisle. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Arizona". 11 We're the fifth largest state with over 12 13 14 15 16 17 program. 18 19 20 21 22 compliment your efforts. 23 SECRETARY HERMAN:

want to make sure that we can move quickly to he individuals that are now on the floor. We'll go to

MR. ARNOLD: Secretary Herman, my name is John Arnold. I work with the Migrant Seasonal Farm Worker Program. I would not have been here today except Congressman Pastor's (ph) office said -- called me at 7:30 and told me, "You should come and tell what the migrant seasonal farm workers are doing in

100,000 farm workers. We've come up with a model called Micro Business Enterprise and it's one of the few models in business that compromises racism. I compliment the U.S. Department of Labor for instituting recently a micro enterprise demonstration Forty-eight percent of the families of households in America are home based businesses and we need to emphasize micro business as an opportunity.

Farm workers have found that that has been a way to compromise racism, so thank you and we

Thank you.

MR. ARNOLD: I've left you a green folder with a little information on it.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very much.

27

Here.

24

25

26

Yes, my name is Reverend

Thomas Smith. I'm with A. Philip Randolph Institute in Tucson, Arizona. I'll just make this short and brief. The thing that bothers me the most is that in America we do one thing the most is talk all the time. Where I think it begins, it begins at home, at home and, you know, I don't understand really what President Clinton

SMITH:

REV.

is trying reach because, number one, with labor in this country, we talked about the corporations in America, they do what they want to until you levy

against their money. Then they get rid of those

people that the charges are brought up to.

But Secretary, my thing is that I'm looking at where federal monies are going into our universities and colleges and they are outright discriminating against workers on the job and nothing is done. And when you go to the affirmative action officers, nothing is done. How are you going to police yourself when you're being paid by the school.

And then we have to understand also that charges can be brought up against everybody. Management, like the young man said, they have their own rules and then as a laborer, you've got to go from A to Z to justify yourself, whereas, management won't do it. So basically what I want to say is I think in America to become one, we've got to be real with one another. We must be real.

1 Number one, we've got to go back to God. 2 I really believe. We really have to go back to God 3 and learn how to be compassionate to one another and 4 stop being greedy. 5 SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very much. We have someone, I believe in the back. Where are we? 6 7 Over here, okay. 8 MS. MEYER: Hi, my name is Mary Meyer. 9 I'm an employee of the United States Department of 10 Agriculture. I and my colleagues and I know a number 11 of people in this organization who have unsettled EEO 12 complaints that are four and five years old and another colleague who can't even get a federal judge 13 14 to make a decision on his, you know, discrimination 15 case. And I'd like this Advisory Committee to 16 relay to President Clinton that he needs to start at 17 the top and get the federal agencies cleaned up before 18 19 he can expect corporate America to, you know, to do 20 that things he wants them to do. 21 (Applause) 22 SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very much. 23 Doctor Franklin? with 24 CHAIRMAN FRANKLIN: I was the President on Monday and he was responding to the 25 request that the Advisory Board made to him with 26

respect to EEOC funds. The problem is that the -- a

27

very considerable addition in the next budget is for the purpose of strengthening EEOC. The President is quite aware of it. This Board is not only aware of it but recommended it.

The President is now recommending it. The EEOC has backlogs and cannot provide answers to your questions and answers to the thousands of other questions because they don't have the funds to staff people, to respond to the complaints. You've got stacks upon stacks of complaints. What you need to do is write your Congress and tell them to support the increase in the appropriations, the line item budgets and so forth, line item items in the budget that will make it possible for EEOC to function effectively.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you, Doctor Franklin.

MS. LOWRY: Hello, hello, hello, welcome to our great city. My name is Carolyn P. Lowry. I'm an activist on the grassroots level. I represent the black, I'm female, I'm poor and I'm kind of a common type of lady, do you know what I'm saying? But I was listening to the panel up here and you guys were a bit boring to me because when I'm listening at all this talk about what we want to do but why aren't we doing it?

And I want to tell you the reason why we don't do a lot right here in Phoenix, so you can go

back, Doctor Franklin, and you won't have to scratch your head and have no more gray hair wondering what's up. Number one, we don't support one another, okay. We are a three percent black population that's very divided here. I'm not here to blame anyone else but us for that.

I've been working for twenty some years on the grassroots level with the black community and I've yet to be able to get these Uncle Toms to come over here and help me. So what they do, those up at the top, just forget about those of us at the bottom and we never come up. So I'm not here to blame any other culture today but I am here to say to my own black brothers and sisters, it's time that you come back and be who you are and help us in the community because, guess what, I want to drive, too, and get off the bus.

(Applause)

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very much. Here.

MR. HEWLETT: My name is Gerald Hewlett, Junior. I'm the rural tribal technical assistance coordinator for the Arizona Regional Community Policing Institute, however, I'm here to speak as a national training in cultural diversity issues, to address what Doctor Franklin had brought up and what Mr. Lucy had articulated so well and to piggyback on what Mr. Russell said.

people in the last two years alone and until I see in these classrooms the corporate directors and the decision makers, things will not change. When I work with educational systems and I see the teachers but not the principals, things will not change. When I

I worked with over 400 agencies and 4,000

staff but I do not see the managers and the decision

work with governmental agencies, when I see the line

makers, things will not change.

That's what's sorely lacking in these educational programs is not only their practicality and competence levels but also the people that need to be in the classroom, they're doing a lot of talking but they're not doing a lot of walking especially to the classroom.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you.

(Applause)

MR. MEYERS: Good afternoon. I'm Jesse Meyers. I used to be an employee of USDA and I would like to get on record and hopefully someone will listen to me. I am the person that was referred to by Mary Meyer who spoke out of the wheelchair. The federal system does not work. They continually discriminate against people because they keep getting away with it.

In my case, in 1993 the USDA says in nonlegal terms, "Yes, we admit liability as discrimination against Jesse Van Meyers. However, we have no intentions of doing anything about it". And they got this before a federal judge and this was their statement where they admitted to a federal Judge, Earl Carroll and he has sat on that for more than five years. The letter came from Washington dated the 31st of December 1992.

There has been no action taken. I will not go into the farce that EEOC is. EEOC did give me a right to sue and I did sue and my case number is 90-1310PHX-EHC. The 90 means that I filed my federal suit in 1990. It took two and a half years before the government admitted to a liability of discrimination and the federal judge would not take any action on it.

Why have these laws, these labor laws, the civil rights laws if the perpetrators are not going to be punished? And things that --

SECRETARY HERMAN: Could I ask you to please bring it to a conclusion?

MR. MEYERS: Okay, and bring it to a close, because of inaction by the President and his political appointees and so forth and all of the do nothing people, I have been fired again. This time I was fired for making loans to Indians and leaving some of the paperwork out and I was fired for -- and the other reason for me being fired was I was on vacation and I missed paying a traffic ticket in the state of

Texas and I have not made up anything.

2.0

And I had a hearing on this matter and -- SECRETARY HERMAN: Could I ask you to do one thing?

MR. MEYERS: Yes.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Since you obviously would like to share more of your personal situation, perhaps, we can even look into it, I'm going to ask a staff person, who is with the Board if they could get more of the details so that we can hear some of the other individuals who are standing behind you.

MR. MEYERS: Okay. I would like to say, I haven't made up anything and my briefcase is out in the car where I brought all this information.

SECRETARY HERMAN: All right, we'll get somebody to talk with you and I want to ask if we can limit the individuals who are standing now because we really will not have any additional time to hear from others, but I have been informed, given the numbers of individuals who want to speak that we will make some time in the carry-over session that will begin at 4:00 o'clock. That is also a part of the public town hall meeting, so you will all get to speak. We just may have to take a break and make the transition in order to prepare for the next session.

So we will continue to move up until that time. We'll take the break and then we'll give you

first up at the mike for continuing comment. But I'd

ask the staff to make sure that we cut it off for

individuals that were actually standing when we made

that announcement. We'll go here.

MS. SHEPPARD: Good afternoon. My name is Karen Sheppard and I'm the global diversity manager for the Dow Chemical Company. It's been my experience that even with affirmative action programs and diversity programs that real change hasn't come about until the senior management of companies have daughters, for instance, that reach working stage in their lives and they have been discriminated against. And I think that that has been a direct result of white women in corporations really advancing.

So I guess my question to you is more from a personal standpoint and not a corporate standpoint because I am only 27 years old. I don't foresee any of the corporation's presidents having a daughter of my color or anyone who looks like me. So how do you get them to experience something like that so that the real commitment is there? Thank you.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Would anyone care to respond? Mr. Artis?

MR. THOMAS: Well, you can begin to, I won't say that you can absolutely but you can begin to understand other people's points of view if you learn how to look at situations through their eyes. And

that's what -- that's what companies have to do, plus
the other side of what you said as Mr. Thomas said,
affirmative action at the top.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you. We'll go here.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Madam Secretary.

My name is Vivian Phillips. I'm the President of

People In Motion and I will be short but I have a

comment, a question and a request. My comment is, I

want to acknowledge Doctor Gene Blue, who's a very

strong activist in our community for penetrating

corporate America from a non-profit standpoint in

terms of bringing in people of color, minorities,

underprivileged, disadvantaged. He has done an

absolutely commendable job.

My question, and I'm directing my question to Mr. Artis because I was totally impressed with what your company is doing, and first I'd like to say that this audience, the people that are here today is a reflection of why I'm concerned about Arizona. I thought for sure when I got here today that it would be packed, that there would be no room to get in. So this is a perfect example of us understanding why this issue needs to be engaged in thoroughly here in Arizona.

My question to Mr. Artis is someone began to talk about our young people and I think when we

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

talk about racism and developing corporate America we do have to take -- that's one piece of the puzzle. What I'd like to know is what are you doing in your company to work with bringing in or developing young people in preparing them for being in corporate America?

And I say that because one of my clients is Junior Achievement of America, and we are in the process of building a multi-cultural initiative to have young the economics people of color understand importance of what it means to stay in school to prepare yourself for this global market that we're dealing with.

And then my request is if there's anybody who's interested in the audience in terms of working with that kind of issue, you're welcome to see me afterward. Thank you.

MR. ARTIS: Great and thank you for that question. You can applaud if you'd like to.

(Applause)

It's a good question because MR. ARTIS: we believe that the success of corporate America depends not only on our ability to be able to attract competitive talent today but also to competitive diverse talent for the future. So just to be brief because I know we're pressed for time, we are an avid supporter, in fact the largest supporter of

inroads in terms of bringing in qualified African

American, Hispanic and other minorities into the

business.

We also have a very aggressive college and university hiring program and we monitor our intake based on race and sex and are proud to report that over 50 percent of the people that we bring in through colleges and universities, both historically black colleges and universities and Hispanic colleges and universities, et cetera, over 50 percent are minorities. So we're feeding that pipeline, that pool of people.

And thirdly, through our foundation we believe it's important to have outreach into the community to effect how people are being educated in K through 12 and other programs and so we support it through our foundation.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you. Here.

MR. BIUSTEROS: Madam Secretary and Doctor Franklin, my name is Frank Biusteros (ph). As the only Hispanic appointee on President Clinton's Community Development Financial Institution Advisory Council I find myself today as a spokesperson speaking on behalf of those tiny micro-enterprises, the selfemployed and the home based enterprises that continue to be discriminated in the financial arena. Financial inadequacies still exist throughout Arizona and

throughout the United States.

But enough of this well-known problem. Through the President's initiative of the Community Development Financial Institution Fund and the U.S. Treasury, the financial industry is beginning to realize that the nation's poor are minorities, are Native Americans, are a good credit risk and that the Community Reinvestment Act and I suggest should be replicated to have a corporate reinvestment act where active -- where corporate America can invest its fair share to end or at least shorten the disparity that exists between the haves and the have nots.

I applaud the President's initiative One America, one nation with liberty and justice for all. Thank you.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very much.

(Applause)

MR. HIGUERA: Good afternoon, my name is Charlie Higuera. I'm with U.S. West Communications and I work in the Spanish service center, El Centro Service Espanole (ph). Your comments regarding corporate concerns for bottom line prompted my response or what my experience has been. I helped found the Spanish center. We started 14 years ago with about four representatives taking calls from one state. We've grown now to 100 representatives. We're taking calls from 14 states. We're taking about 4,000

to 5,000 calls a day.

2.0

In regard to bottom line concerns, I was there. We helped. With my fellow employees we fought the battles against management to show them that there was a market there. Only after our growth, only after our call volumes increased the revenue was shown to them, then we got the support we needed.

I'm grateful for the company, for the support we have now, thank God for that. And where I work is every day a reaffirmation of my culture because all I do is speak Spanish all day. My fellow employees, there's 100 of us, they're from South America, Mexico. It's great. Economic reality sets in when I speak to my customers from across the region that work in the service center here in Arizona, work in the agricultural areas of Washington, they work in the meat packing plants in Nebraska and Iowa and that brings you back to reality. And I thank you for your time.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you. We'll take one more question on this side.

MR. ABRELL: (ph) Madam Chairman, my name is Anthony Abrell. I am with Neighborhood Spirit Associations. I live in South Phoenix, so I'm a neighborhood activist. I've been for many years. One of the things that I wanted to bring about that's on America regarding to President Clinton's initiative.

I wish I would have known that it was early in the
morning. I was at the City Council and one of the
biggest problems that we have is our own legislatures,
our city officials, our county officials, that
something like this from the President, I seen it on
TV. I tried to get more information but I couldn't

Yesterday at the City Council, Councilman Cody Williams announced that from 4:15 to 5:30 there would be something here at the Preparatory Academy. Had I known it was at 9:00 o'clock, I would have been here at 8:00. But the reason why I speak out is the biggest problem that we have is that a lot of the information that the President is not aware of when he goes to give out monies to the Justice Department, they should investigate the non-profit organizations because involved with the city, they get the information.

We, as activists, if we doubt if we get the information. Just like the Rio Salado Project, this is what the public were giving out as far as this is the information that would be coming out, a feasibility study regarding to the Water Act, but then, in fact, this is the actual document that the public is not aware of that's going on regarding to development.

And the reason why I speak out in this

find anything.

manner is that I'd like to see these documents go back to Washington so that the President can see that when developments occur on the judicial level that they investigate definitely that the monies go to the immediate areas. South Phoenix has been a garbage dump for many years. It was recognized the city dump and it never gets any revenues. It's always east, west, north.

I mean, I like what Mr. Russell said, yeah, it is corporate America that has to look into it and it has to go back to school but they also have to recognize that there's a lot of people out here but the only thing is that there's too many brown noses and it's got to become more whistle blowers where they speak out for the people and all nationalities. Thank you.

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very, very much. I would like to ask --

(Applause)

SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you. I would like to ask the staff who is in the aisle with the other speakers, if we could either get the names or set up a number system, what we're going to do is to take just a 20-minute break more or less to transition into the town hall meeting. We will then continue with the comments and the dialogue. But for my portion of at least chairing this session, I want to

1 again thank the panelists who have participated and I 2 wanted to recognize Mr. Dick Snell, who is 3 President and CEO of Pinnacle West who is in the 4 audience and also Jane James of the Arizona Public 5 Service. We thank you for being here and for your 6 7 contributions as well to this session, to this forum. Again, my thanks to the panelists and to the Board who 8 9 sat in on this panel. Thank you very much and we'll be back at 4:00 o'clock to continue with the full town 10 11 hall meeting and this dialogue. Ms. Thompson? 12 MS. CHAVEZ-THOMPSON: Yes, we would encourage all of the people that are in line to make 13 14 sure that the staff knows your name and you will be put back in the same order that you were in line. 15 is difficult to try to keep to a schedule but we will 16 do the very best that we can to get back into session 17 right at 4:00 p.m. 18 19 SECRETARY HERMAN: Thank you very much. 20 (Whereupon, at 3:30 p.m. the above-21 entitled matter concluded.) 22 23

24

25

26

27

Î	77	
1		
2		